PAGES 1 - 16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILLIAM ALSUP ORACLE AMERICA, INC., PLAINTIFF, VS.) NO. C 10-03561 WHA GOGGLE, INC.,) SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA DEFENDANT. WEDNESDAY) FEBRUARY 9, 2011) 11:00 O'CLOCK A.M. TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS **APPEARANCES:** FOR PLAINTIFF: MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 755 PAGE MILL ROAD PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA 94304-1018 BY: RICHARD S. BALLINGER, ESQUIRE ROMAN A. SWOOPES, ESQUIRE MARC DAVID PETERS, PH.D., PARTNER MICHAEL A. JACOBS, PARTNER DIANE C. GABL, ATTORNEY AT LAW 650-251-3974 AND ANDREW C. TEMKIN, CORPORATE COUNSEL 500 ORACLE PARKWAY M/S 50P7 REDWOOD SHORES, CALIFORNIA 94065 FURTHER APPEARANCES ON NEXT PAGE. REPORTED BY: KATHERINE WYATT, CSR 9866, RMR, RPR OFFICIAL REPORTER - US DISTRICT COURT COMPUTERIZED TRANSCRIPTION BY ECLIPSE

KATHERINE WYATT, OFFICIAL REPORTER, RPR, RMR 925-212-5224

1	FURTHER APPEARANCES:	
2	ALSO FOR PLAINTIFF:	
3	BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXN 1999 HARRISON STREET	ER LLP
4	II	1 2
5		
6	5	
7	FOR THE DEFENDANT:	
8		
9		
10	BY: BRUCE W. BABER, ESQUIRE SCOTT T. WEINGAERTNER, E	SQUIRE
11	l AND	
12		
13		
14	CHARLOTTE, NORTH CAROLIN BY: STEVEN T. SNYDER, ESQUIR	
15	5 AND	
16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	LITIGATION
17		
18	1600 AMPHITHEATRE PARKW MOUNTAIN VIEW, CALIFORN	
19	9	
20		
21		
22	2	
23	3	
24	4	
25		

1 FEBRUARY 9, 2011 11:00 O'CLOCK A.M. 2 3 PROCEEDINGS THE CLERK: FOR THE RECORD, CALLING CASE 10-3561. 4 5 IT'S ORACLE AMERICA, INC. VERSUS GOOGLE, INC., ON FOR MOTION TO COMPEL. 6 7 COUNSEL, CAN YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR APPEARANCES FOR THE RECORD? 8 MR. JACOBS: YES. FOR PLAINTIFF ORACLE AMERICA, 9 MICHAEL JACOBS, MARK PETERS, SEVERAL COLLEAGUES, YOUNGER 10 11 COLLEAGUES IN THE BACK FROM MORRISON & FOERSTER AND STEVE HOLTZMAN FROM BOIES SCHILLER & FLEXNER. 12 13 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MR. WEINGAERTNER: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. SCOTT 14 WEINGAERTNER OF KING & SPALDING FOR DEFENDANT GOOGLE. HERE WITH 15 BRUCE BABER, KING & SPALDING, RANDY HWANG OF GOOGLE AND STEVE 16 17 SNYDER ALSO FROM KING & SPALDING. 18 MR. JACOBS: AND I SHOULD HAVE INTRODUCED ANDREW 19 TEMKIN FROM ORACLE, SIR. 20 THE COURT: WELCOME TO YOU, TOO. WE'RE HERE FOR A COUPLE OF THINGS. I WOULD LIKE TO 21 22 START WITH THE ISSUE OF WHETHER OR NOT THE DISCLOSURES ARE 23 ADEQUATE UNDER OUR LOCAL RULES, UNLESS YOU'VE REACHED AN 24 AGREEMENT. 25 MR. JACOBS: WE DID, YOUR HONOR. IT MAY NOT SURPRISE 1

```
YOU GETTING US IN THE ROOM TOGETHER WAS PRODUCTIVE.
 2
                 THE COURT: WELL, THEN, LET'S HEAR THE AGREEMENT.
 3
                 MR. JACOBS: THE AGREEMENT IS THAT BY FEBRUARY 18TH
 4
      BOTH SIDES WILL FURTHER SUPPLEMENT THEIR INFRINGEMENT
 5
      CONTENTIONS AND NONINFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS WITH RESPECT TO THE
      CONCERN THE OTHER SIDE RAISED.
 6
                 TO GO DOWN A LEVEL OF DETAIL, WE HAVE EXPRESSED A
 7
      CONCERN WITH THE LEVEL OF TECHNICAL DETAIL IN GOGGLE'S
 8
     NONINFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS. WHY IS THIS LIMITATION NOT PRESENT
 9
10
      IN THE SOURCE CODE WHERE IN THE INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS WE'VE
11
      DELINEATED THE SOURCE CODE WHERE THAT LIMITATION CAN BE FOUND?
                 GOGGLE HAS AGREED TO GIVE IT THEIR BEST CURRENT SHOT
12
13
     AT ARTICULATING WHY THAT LIMITATION IS NOT PRESENT IN THAT CODE
14
      ITSELF, ON THE ASSUMPTION THAT THAT CODE IS OPERATING ON THE
     HANDSETS.
15
                 RECIPROCALLY GOOGLE EXPRESSED A CONCERN THAT WE HAD
16
17
     NOT ARTICULATED SUFFICIENTLY THE BASIS FOR OUR BELIEF THAT THE
      GOOGLE -- THAT THE ANDROID CODE THAT IS ON THE GOOGLE PUBLIC
18
19
     ANDROID WEBSITE IS, IN FACT, THE CODE THAT IS PRESENT ON THE
     HANDSETS THAT WOULD REPRESENT THE DIRECT INFRINGEMENT IN CASES
20
     OF INDIRECT INFRINGEMENT.
21
22
                 SO BY FEBRUARY 18TH, WE WILL BOTH BE SUPPLEMENTING
23
     AND GIVING IT OUR BEST CURRENT SHOT WITH RESPECT TO THE OTHER
24
     SIDE'S CONCERNS.
25
                 WE FURTHER AGREED THAT WE WILL DO ANOTHER UPDATE OF
```

```
1
      OUR CONTENTIONS AMPLIFYING ON EVIDENCE THAT HAS BEEN DEVELOPED
 2
     IN THE INTERIM ON APRIL 1ST.
 3
                 SO I THINK WE'RE BOTH SATISFIED THAT WE'VE MADE A LOT
      OF PROGRESS HERE, AT LEAST, AND DON'T NEED THE COURT'S
 4
 5
      INTERVENTION AT THIS TIME ON THAT, ON THE ISSUE OF OUR
      INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS AND THEIR NONINFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS.
 6
 7
                 THE COURT: SO PROPERLY STATED?
                 MR. WEINGAERTNER: YES, YOUR HONOR.
 8
 9
                 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WELL, GOOD. THANK YOU FOR
     MAKING THAT AGREEMENT. I APPRECIATE IT.
10
11
                 IS THERE ANYTHING MORE I CAN DO FOR YOU TODAY?
                MR. JACOBS: WE HAD FURTHER BRIEFED CONCERNS WITH
12
13
     EACH OTHER'S INTERROGATORY RESPONSES. WE HAD ENOUGH TIME TO
     DISCUSS TWO OF THOSE, ONE IMPORTANT ONE, ONE THAT WAS IMPORTANT
14
15
     TO EACH SIDE. AND WE MADE ENOUGH PROGRESS IN RESOLVING THOSE
      THAT WE DON'T THINK WE NEED THE COURT'S INTERVENTION ON
16
17
     INTERROGATORIES AT THIS TIME.
                 WE BOTH AGREED THAT WHAT WE REALLY NEED TO BE DOING
18
19
     IS ENGAGING BETTER WITH EACH OTHER IN ATTEMPTING TO RESOLVE
20
      THESE DISAGREEMENTS AND EXPLAINING WHAT IS REALLY BOTHERING THE
      OTHER SIDE AND NOT ASKING THE COURT TO CALL THESE BALLS AND
21
22
     STRIKES AT THIS POINT.
23
                 THE COURT: AGREED?
24
                 MR. WEINGAERTNER: YES, YOUR HONOR. WE'RE IN
25
     AGREEMENT WITH THAT.
```

1	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. SO DOES THAT TAKE CARE OF
2	EVERYTHING THAT WAS TEED UP FOR TODAY?
3	MR. JACOBS: IT DOES, YOUR HONOR.
4	MR. WEINGAERTNER: YES, YOUR HONOR.
5	THE COURT: HAVE YOU TAKEN ANY DEPOSITIONS IN THIS
6	CASE?
7	MR. JACOBS: NOT YET, YOUR HONOR.
8	THE COURT: WELL, DON'T WE HAVE A DISCOVERY CUTOFF
9	COMING UP SOON? RIGHT? NOT SOON, BUT LOOKS LIKE IT WILL BE
10	JULY 29 OF THIS YEAR.
11	YOU KNOW I WILL NOT EXTEND IT.
12	MR. WEINGAERTNER: YES, YOUR HONOR.
13	MR. JACOBS: WE KNOW, YOUR HONOR.
14	THE COURT: SO YOU BETTER GET CRACKING. I KNOW WHAT
15	WILL HAPPEN. THERE WILL BE GNASHING OF TEETH. AND THEN, YOU'LL
16	BLAME ME, SAY HOW UNREASONABLE THAT JUDGE IS.
17	MR. JACOBS: WOULDN'T THINK OF IT, YOUR HONOR.
18	THE COURT: BUT, YOU KNOW, IT'S YOUR OWN FAULT. YOU
19	NEED TO GET CRACKING.
20	PLEASE DON'T GO FOR THE
21	WE-GOT-TO-KNOW-EVERYTHING-TILL-WE-DO-ANYTHING APPROACH. I KNOW
22	BOTH. I KNOW THIS IS THE WAY IT IS. YOU'LL SAY:
23	"WE CAN'T TAKE A SINGLE DEPOSITION UNTIL WE KNOW
24	EVERY SINGLE DOCUMENT."
25	NO. TAKE THE DEPOSITIONS WITH 80 PERCENT OF THE

```
1
     DOCUMENTS AND THE 20 PERCENT, IF THEY MATTER, WELL, TAKE THEM
 2
     AGAIN. AND MAKE THE OTHER SIDE PAY FOR THE COST OF THE
 3
     DEPOSITION IF THEY STONEWALLED.
 4
                BUT I LIKE TO SEE THE CASE MOVING ALONG. DEPOSITIONS,
 5
     THAT'S WHERE YOU WILL FIND A LOT OF THE INFORMATION. PLUS, I
     AGREE THE DOCUMENTS USUALLY TELL THE STORY, TOO.
 6
 7
                MR. JACOBS: WE WILL TAKE THAT TO HEART, YOUR HONOR.
                 THE COURT: HOW ABOUT E-MAILS? HAVE THEY BEEN
 8
     PRODUCED YET?
 9
                MR. JACOBS: YES, YOUR HONOR. BOTH SIDES HAVE MADE
10
11
     CONSIDERABLE ELECTRONIC AND NONELECTRONIC PRODUCTIONS. WE WERE
     TOLD TODAY WE PRODUCED A TERABYTE. WE DIDN'T REALIZE THAT.
12
13
                 THE COURT: WHAT'S THAT?
                MR. JACOBS: A LOT.
14
15
                 THE COURT: WHAT IS A TERABYTE?
                MR. JACOBS: A TERABYTE IS A --
16
                 THE COURT: IS THAT MORE THAN A BILLION?
17
                MR. JACOBS: A TERABYTE IS A --
18
                 THE COURT: A MILLION MILLION?
19
20
                NO, THAT WOULDN'T -- I DON'T KNOW WHAT IT WOULD BE.
     THAT'S VERY LARGE.
21
22
                 MR. JACOBS: IT'S VERY LARGE.
23
                 THE COURT: HOW TO COULD ANYONE READ ALL THAT
24
     INFORMATION?
25
                MR. WEINGAERTNER: THAT'S WHAT WE WERE WONDERING,
```

1	YOUR HONOR.
2	THE COURT: WHAT?
3	MR. WEINGAERTNER: THAT'S WHAT WE WERE WONDERING,
4	YOUR HONOR. WE HAD A DISCUSSION ABOUT THAT.
5	THE COURT: CAN I ASK YOU A QUESTION ABOUT THIS CASE?
6	MAYBE I SHOULDN'T.
7	MR. JACOBS: NO, GO AHEAD.
8	THE COURT: I'M JUST WONDERING ABOUT THE THEORY
9	SINCE I DON'T KNOW ENOUGH ABOUT THIS TO SAY, BUT SOME PEOPLE
10	WOULD HAVE THOUGHT THAT JAVA WAS IN THE PUBLIC DOMAIN AND THAT
11	NOBODY OWNED IT, BECAUSE MANY PEOPLE HAVE BEEN HEARING ABOUT
12	JAVA FOR 30 YEARS, SO HOW COULD ANYBODY OWN IT?
13	MR. JACOBS: WELL, IT DEPENDS ON WHAT "IT" IS, YOUR
14	HONOR.
15	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
16	MR. JACOBS: SO, FOR EXAMPLE, THE JAVA PROGRAMMING
17	LANGUAGE, WE'RE NOT ASSERTING THAT WE OWN THAT PROGRAMMING
18	LANGUAGE FOR PURPOSES OF THIS CASE. BUT WE ARE ASSERTING,
19	OBVIOUSLY, WITH RESPECT TO THE PATENTS THAT WE HAVE PATENT
20	RIGHTS.
21	WE WERE JUST TALKING ABOUT
22	THE COURT: PATENT RIGHTS ON WHAT?
23	MR. JACOBS: ON PATENTS THAT HAPPEN TO HAVE ARISEN
24	FROM THE INNOVATIONS ASSOCIATED WITH JAVA.
25	THE COURT: HOW IS THAT DIFFERENT FROM JUST USING THE

	0430 0.10 0V 00301 Will V Document 07 Thea 02/10/11 Tage 3 of 17
1	JAVA CODE ITSELF?
2	MR. JACOBS: WELL, THE JAVA CODE SO THE JAVA CODE
3	ITSELF IS NOT IN THE PUBLIC DOMAIN. THE JAVA CODE ITSELF IS
4	LICENSED UNDER OPEN SOURCE LICENSES THAT HAVE THEIR OWN BENEFITS
5	TO ORACLE AND TO THE OPEN SOURCE COMMUNITY.
6	GOOGLE HAS NOT AVAILED ITSELF OF THOSE OPEN SOURCE
7	LICENSES. IT CHOSE A DIFFERENT LICENSING PATH WITH ANDROID,
8	SO
9	THE COURT: SEE, I DON'T KNOW ENOUGH ABOUT WHAT THAT
10	"OPEN SOURCE" MEANS, SO I HAVE A FEELING I SHOULD PROBABLY JUST
11	LET IT LIE. BUT SINCE I LET YOU HAVE A MOMENT, LET'S HEAR FROM
12	THE OTHER SIDE, SO YOU HAVE EQUAL TIME.
13	MR. WEINGAERTNER: WELL, THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
14	WE'RE ACTUALLY GLAD TO HEAR MR. JACOBS, I BELIEVE,
15	TAKE THE POSITION THAT THE JAVA LANGUAGE WASN'T PROTECTED,
16	BECAUSE I THINK THERE WAS AN OPEN ISSUE ABOUT THAT.
17	WE WERE ACTUALLY UNDER THE IMPRESSION THAT THEY WERE
18	TAKING THAT POSITION. WE'RE ACTUALLY TRYING TO SORT OUT EXACTLY
19	WHAT THEIR POSITIONS ARE, AND WE'RE GLAD THAT YOUR HONOR RAISED
20	THIS ISSUE, BECAUSE "JAVA" IS A TERM THAT IS USED EXTREMELY
21	BROADLY IN THIS CASE TO COVER LANGUAGE, TO COVER PLATFORM.
22	AND I THINK THEY WANT IT TO COVER PATENTS, ALTHOUGH I

KATHERINE WYATT, OFFICIAL REPORTER, RPR, RMR 925-212-5224

THAT. DON'T SAY THAT. I DON'T KNOW ENOUGH ABOUT THIS TO BE ON

THE COURT: I'M NOT ON ANY PAGE. PLEASE DON'T SAY

THINK WE'RE ON THE SAME PAGE AS YOUR HONOR THAT THEY ARE --

23

24

25

```
1
      ANY PAGE. SO I JUST ASKED THE QUESTION.
 2
                 MR. WEINGAERTNER: OKAY. LET ME REPHRASE THAT.
 3
      WE'RE ALSO NOT ON THE SAME PAGE AS YOUR HONOR.
 4
                 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. AT LEAST YOU ASKED THE SAME
 5
      OUESTION. ALL RIGHT.
 6
                 ALL RIGHT. SO I NEED TO ALERT YOU ALL WHILE I GOT YOU
 7
      HERE THAT STARTING MARCH 7TH -- DID I SEND OUT SOMETHING ON THIS
      ALREADY?
 8
 9
                 MR. JACOBS: I DON'T --
10
                 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. STARTING MARCH 7TH I WILL BE
11
      IN A LARGE GANG CASE THAT WILL -- CRIMINAL PROSECUTION -- THAT
      WILL PROBABLY GO SIX MONTHS, FOUR MONTHS, SIX MONTHS, SOMEWHERE
12
13
      IN THERE.
                 SO IF YOU ARE GOING TO FILE ANY MOTIONS, I MAY HAVE
14
15
      TO ASK YOU TO DO A PROCEDURE WHEREBY YOU CAN'T FILE ANY MOTIONS
16
      UNLESS YOU GET PERMISSION IN ADVANCE.
17
                 SO LET ME ASK YOU: DO EITHER OF YOU HAVE MOTIONS
18
      THAT YOU ARE CONTEMPLATING? IF YOU DO, I MIGHT AS WELL DEAL
19
      WITH IT RIGHT NOW.
20
                 MR. BABER: AGAIN, YOUR HONOR, IF I MAY.
                 THE COURT: SURE. COME ON UP.
21
22
                 MR. BABER: YES. BRUCE BABER FROM KING & SPALDING.
23
                 WE ARE CONTEMPLATING AN EARLY MOTION FOR SUMMARY
```

AND TWO COPYRIGHTS, AND WE BELIEVE THAT THE COPYRIGHT CLAIM

KATHERINE WYATT, OFFICIAL REPORTER, RPR, RMR 925-212-5224

JUDGMENT ON THE COPYRIGHT COUNT. THERE'S SEVEN PATENTS ASSERTED

24

25

1	SHOULD FAIL, AND WE ARE PREPARING AN EARLY MOTION ON THAT.
2	THE COURT: IS THIS SOMETHING THAT COULD BE EASILY
3	CURED BY PLEADING AROUND THE PROBLEM?
4	MR. BABER: NO, YOUR HONOR. IT HAS NOTHING TO DO
5	WITH THE PLEADING. IT HAS TO DO WITH THE SUBSTANCE OF THE
6	CLAIM. I'M HAPPY TO GO INTO IT IN AS MUCH DETAIL AS YOU LIKE.
7	WE THINK IT'S SOMETHING THAT CAN'T BE CURED. IT'S JUST THAT
8	IN FACT, IT RELATES TO ONE OF THE ISSUES YOU JUST RAISED, WHICH
9	IS THE "JAVA PROGRAMMING LANGUAGE," AS YOU PUT IT, THERE ARE
10	CERTAIN PIECES OF THE ANDROID THAT ARE THERE SO THAT PROGRAMS
11	WRITTEN IN THE JAVA LANGUAGE WILL RUN RIGHT.
12	THAT'S THESE API'S. OKAY? SO THAT
13	THE COURT: WHAT IS AN API?
14	MR. BABER: IT'S AN APPLICATION PROGRAMMING
15	INTERFACE, WHICH BASICALLY ALLOWS ONE COMPUTER SOFTWARE PROGRAM
16	TO SAY TO ANOTHER ONE:
17	"DO THIS FOR ME."
18	OKAY? IT MAKES A CALL, AND IT ASKS THE OTHER PROGRAM
19	TO PERFORM A FUNCTION.
20	AND IN JAVA PROGRAMMING LANGUAGE IT HAS THESE
21	COMMANDS. IT SAYS:
22	"GO ASK THIS TO DO THAT. GO ASK THIS OTHER
23	THING TO DO SOMETHING ELSE."
24	AND THOSE, THERE ARE SOME PIECES OF THOSE KINDS OF
25	JAVA API'S THAT ARE IN THE ANDROID THAT ARE THERE SO THAT

```
1
      PROGRAMS IN THE JAVA LANGUAGE WILL RUN CORRECTLY. AND THAT'S
 2
     WHAT THEY BASE THE COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT CLAIM ON, PRIMARILY.
 3
                 THE COURT: IS THIS A SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION?
                MR. BABER: YES, YOUR HONOR, IT IS.
 4
 5
                 THE COURT: WELL, HERE'S WHAT YOU OUGHT TO DO. DON'T
     FILE THAT YET. FILE A THREE-PAGE PRECIS, P-R-E-C-I-S.
 6
                AND IF IT'S -- I WON'T SAY -- I WILL IF IT'S GOT
 7
     ENOUGH PLAUSIBILITY THAT I THINK I CAN WORK IT IN. I WON'T SAY
 8
      THAT -- THAT DOESN'T MEAN IT WILL BE GRANTED. IT JUST MEANS IT'S
 9
10
      IN THE BALLPARK OF PLAUSIBILITY, THEN WE WILL SET A SCHEDULE FOR
11
     THAT.
                BUT I NEED TO IMPOSE SOME KIND OF -- SOME KIND OF WAY
12
13
     TO PROTECT MYSELF AGAINST HAVING TOO MUCH MOTION PRACTICE, AT
     LEAST FOR THE NEXT FEW MONTHS.
14
15
                 I'M ALREADY WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THIS TRIAL, AND IT'S
     NOT GOING TO GO AWAY. IT'S A MAJOR PROBLEM, A MAJOR TIME
16
17
     COMMITMENT.
                 SO ALL RIGHT. SO CAN YOU DO A THREE-PAGE LETTER?
18
19
                 AND THEN, MR. JACOBS, YOU CAN FILE A THREE-PAGE -- NO
     ATTACHMENTS. LOOK AT THIS (INDICATING). I ASKED FOR -- LOOK AT
20
      THIS (INDICATING). I ASKED FOR A THREE-PAGE THING. AND THIS IS
21
22
     WHAT MORRISON & FOERSTER GAVE ME WITH FOUR INCHES.
23
                 JUST THREE PAGES, NO ATTACHMENTS. NO -- NOTHING BUT
24
     JUST SUMMARY. AND THEN, I CAN TELL WHETHER OR NOT THERE'S
25
     ENOUGH PLAUSIBILITY. AND ALSO WHY IT OUGHT TO BE HEARD NOW AS
```

```
1
      OPPOSED TO LATER.
 2
                 AND THEN, IF IT'S -- I'M GOING ERR ON THE SIDE OF
 3
     LETTING YOU BRING IT. BUT I GOT TO DO SOMETHING TO PROTECT MY
 4
     RESOURCES AGAINST BEING OVERSTRETCHED.
 5
                 HOW ABOUT YOU, MR. JACOBS? DO YOU HAVE ANY MOTIONS
     YOU WANT TO BRING?
 6
 7
                MR. JACOBS: WE DON'T HAVE ANY EARLY MOTIONS
     CONTEMPLATED, YOUR HONOR.
 8
                 TWO POINTS OF CLARIFICATION. WE DO HAVE TWO DATES
 9
10
     FOR A MARKMAN IN APRIL.
11
                 THE COURT: WE'RE GOING TO GO AHEAD WITH THAT. I'M
     STILL COUNTING ON DOING THAT.
12
13
                 MR. JACOBS: AND THEN, IF WE DO HAVE DISCOVERY ISSUES
     AS OPPOSED TO SUBSTANTIVE MOTIONS --
14
15
                 THE COURT: YES, YOU CAN STILL BRING THOSE.
                MR. JACOBS: THANK YOU.
16
                 THE COURT: YOU CAN BRING THOSE. BUT IF IT GETS OUT
17
18
      OF HAND -- IT HASN'T YET. BUT IF IT GOT OUT OF HAND, I WOULD
19
     HAVE TO ASK A MAGISTRATE JUDGE TO HELP ME WITH THAT. BUT I WAS
     GOING TO TRY TO WORK THROUGH THE ONES YOU HAD TODAY.
20
                 AND, YOU KNOW, IT'S OKAY TO HAVE SOME DISCOVERY
21
22
     DISPUTES. AND I VERY MUCH APPRECIATE YOU RESOLVING THESE. BUT IN
23
     SOME CASES THE LAWYERS FIGHT OVER SO MANY THINGS I JUST CANNOT
     DO IT ALL, AND I HAVE TO GET A MAGISTRATE JUDGE TO HELP ME.
24
25
                 BUT YOU DID GOOD TODAY. YOU SAVED YOUR SILVER BULLETS
```

1	FOR A TIME WHEN BOTH SIDES PROBABLY NEED IT MORE. SO GOOD FOR
2	YOU.
3	WELL, WHAT ELSE CAN I DO FOR YOU NOW THAT YOU ARE
4	HERE, OR CAN WE JUST BRING THIS TO AN END?
5	MR. JACOBS: I THINK WE'RE DONE, YOUR HONOR. THANK
6	YOU.
7	MR. WEINGAERTNER: WE'RE DONE, YOUR HONOR.
8	THE COURT: YOU CAME ALL THE WAY WHERE ARE YOU
9	LOCATED?
10	MR. WEINGAERTNER: NEW YORK.
11	MR. BABER: NEW YORK.
12	THE COURT: ALL FOUR OF YOU ARE IN NEW YORK?
13	MR. BABER: NO. MR. SNYDER IS IN CHARLOTTE, NORTH
14	CAROLINA.
15	MR. HWANG IS DOWN IN MOUNTAIN VIEW AT GOOGLE.
16	AND I ACTUALLY SPLIT MY TIME BETWEEN ATLANTA AND NEW
17	YORK.
18	THE COURT: SO DO WE HAVE A REPRESENTATIVE OF BOTH
19	GOOGLE HERE AS WELL AS ORACLE?
20	MR. WEINGAERTNER: YES, YOUR HONOR.
21	THE COURT: SO DID YOU BOTH GET TO SEE THE JURY ROOM?
22	MR. HWANG: YOUR HONOR, I DID. THANK YOU FOR YOUR
23	HOSPITALITY.
24	THE COURT: AND YOU, AS WELL?
25	MR. TEMKIN: YOUR HONOR, I DID NOT GET TO SEE THE

```
1
      JURY ROOM. I WAS ATTENDING A HEARING.
 2
                 THE COURT: WELL, I'M GOING TO LET MR. JACOBS TAKE
 3
     YOU BACK THERE, BECAUSE I WANT YOU TO SEE WHERE THE FATE OF YOUR
 4
      COMPANIES WILL BE DECIDED.
 5
                 MR. TEMKIN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, YOUR HONOR.
                 THE COURT: AND I DO THIS BECAUSE I THINK LAWYERS
 6
 7
      SOMETIMES THINK:
                      "WELL, THIS IS GOING TO GO STRAIGHT FROM THAT
 8
                 LECTERN TO THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT."
 9
                 NO. THERE'S AN IMPORTANT INTERMEDIATE STOP IN THERE,
10
11
      IN THAT ROOM, WHERE YOU NEVER KNOW WHO IS GOING TO BE -- BUT I
     HAVE GREAT FAITH IN OUR JURY SYSTEM. BUT IT'S JUST PEOPLE,
12
13
     ORDINARY PEOPLE WHO HAVE LIVES TO LEAD AND CHILDREN TO GET TO
      SCHOOL IN THE MORNING. AND THEY DRIVE IN HERE. THEY LISTEN TO
14
15
     THE EVIDENCE. THEY GO IN THERE AND DECIDE YOUR CASE.
16
                 AND EVERYTHING, YOU KNOW. SO IT SOMETIMES DRIVES
17
     THAT POINT HOME FOR YOU TO SEE THE JURY ROOM.
18
                 SO, MR. JACOBS, I WANT YOU TO STAY BACK LONG ENOUGH
19
     TO SHOW YOUR CLIENT THE JURY ROOM. IT'S A NICE JURY ROOM, BUT
      THAT'S WHERE -- THAT'S THE INTERMEDIATE STOP BETWEEN HERE AND
20
     THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT.
21
22
                 AND WHAT THEY DECIDE IS NOT REVIEWABLE, UNLESS THERE
23
     IS INSUFFICIENT EVIDENCE. SO YOU HAVE TO GET ME TO MAKE A
24
     MISTAKE. THAT'S REVIEWABLE, BUT MISTAKES BY THE JURY ARE VERY
```

25

HARD TO REVERSE.

```
1
                 ALL RIGHT. I THANK YOU BOTH. IT'S GREAT TO HAVE SUCH
 2
      TERRIFIC LAWYERS HERE IN THE COURTROOM. AND HAVE A GREAT DAY.
 3
      THANK YOU.
 4
                 MR. JACOBS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
 5
                MR. WEINGAERTNER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
 6
                (THEREUPON, THIS HEARING WAS CONCLUDED.)
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, KATHERINE WYATT, THE UNDERSIGNED, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING PROCEEDINGS WERE REPORTED BY ME, A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER, AND WERE THEREAFTER TRANSCRIBED BY ME INTO TYPEWRITING; THAT THE FOREGOING IS A FULL, COMPLETE AND TRUE RECORD OF SAID PROCEEDINGS. I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I AM NOT OF COUNSEL OR ATTORNEY FOR EITHER OR ANY OF THE PARTIES IN THE FOREGOING PROCEEDINGS AND CAPTION NAMED, OR IN ANY WAY INTERESTED IN THE OUTCOME OF THE CAUSE NAMED IN SAID CAPTION. THE FEE CHARGED AND THE PAGE FORMAT FOR THE TRANSCRIPT CONFORM TO THE REGULATIONS OF THE JUDICIAL CONFERENCE. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I HAVE HEREUNTO SET MY HAND THIS 10TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2011. /S/ KATHERINE WYATT